

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/817,516	Applicant(s) SHUM ET AL.	
	Examiner Antonio A. Caschera	Art Unit 2628	

All Participants:

(1) Antonio A. Caschera.

(2) Jacob Rohwer.

Date of Interview: 20 August 2009

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:
 42, 43, 45, 59

Prior art documents discussed:

Status of Application: Responding to AF amendment of 07/23/09

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: 1

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Examiner inquired upon performing an Examiner's Amendment to change claims 45 and 59 to better resemble the preamble of independent claim 44. In particular the phrase, "A computer-storage media.." was changed to "One or more computer-storage media." Also, it seemed that claims 42 and 43 recited limitations which were already recited in independent claim 35. Applicant's Representative authorized the cancellation of these claims.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Antonio A Caschera/
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2628

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

